

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G. BIKSHAPATHY

WRIT PETITION NO : 1787 of 1995

Between:

The Dist Manager,A.P.State Civil Supplies Corporation,

Srikakulam Town & Dist

..... PETITIONER

AND

- 1 The Industrial tribunal-Cum Labour Court Rep by its Presiding officer
Visakhapatnam
- 2 M.V.Krishna Murthy @ Krishnama Chary
C/o. Ch.Appa Rao, Trade Unionist Amadalavalasa , Srikakulam Dist
- 3 The Govt of A.P Rep by its Secretary , Women Development , Child

Welfare & Labour (Lab-I) Dept. Secretariat Building , Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction under Art.226 of the Constitution of India calling for all the records to and bearing upon the ex-parte award in I.D.No.39/1991 dated: 3-10-1992 made by the 1st respondent-Industrial Tribunal-Cum Labour Court Visakhapatnam and also in E.P.No.13 of 1994 pending before the 1st respondent and declare the same as invalid , bad in law and not binding upon the petitioner; declare that the G.O.Rt.No.2185, Women's Development Child's Welfare and Labour (LAB-I) Department,, dated 31-10-1992 of the 3rd respondent as bad in law and not binding on the petitioner; declare that the 2nd respondent has never been in service of the petitioner-Dt. Manager, APSCSC

Ltd., Srikakulam , and direct the 1st respondent -Labour Court , Visakhapatnam , not to attach the Ambassador Car bearing Regn.No.AP.9 B568 in E.P.No.13/1994 and to pass such other order or orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.V.T.M.PRASAD (Not Present)

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: MR.P.KRISHNA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No1 and 3: GP for Labour and Social Welfare

The Court made the following:

ORDER :

-

-

The learned Counsel for the petitioners is not present. There is no representation on his behalf when the matter reached the bench.

2. Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed for default. No costs.

G. BIKSHAPATHY, J

Dated:23-09-2004

Ccm

To:

- 1 The Presiding Officer, Industrial tribunal-Cum Labour Court,
Visakhapatnam
- 2 The Secretary , Women’s Development , Child Welfare &

Labour (Lab-I) Dept. Secretariat Building , Govt of A.P, Hyderabad.

3. 2 CCs to the G.P. for Labour and Social Welfare, High Court Buildings,
A.P.Hyderabad(OUT)

4. 2 CD copies.